

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/842,810	ISMAEIL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nhon T Diep	2613	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Nhon T Diep. (3) _____.

(2) Gavin N. Manning. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 June 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 16.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner called to discuss with the attorney of record concerning two subjects:

1. As originally filed, this application has 30 claims and this application does not have claim 20 (Claim number jumps from 19 to 21). The examiner rennumbers claims 21-31 to 20-30 (and also their dependency), respectively.
2. Claim 16 is dependent on any one of claim 1 to 15 and because of its dependency, the claim has 112, 2nd problem. The attorney of recor agreed to permit the examiner to amend the dedendency of claim 16 from "any one of claims 1 to 15" to --claim 15--.